

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

UNITALEN ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
7th Floor, Scitech Place, No.22, Jian Guo Men Wai Ave.,  
Chao Yang District, Beijing 100004 P.R.China  
LU Changming

### PCT

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43 *bis*.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference OP050011P		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01 DEC 2005 (01.12.2005)
International application No. PCT/CN2005/001426	International filing date (day/month/year) 08. Sep. 2005 (08. 09. 2005)	REPLY DUE within months/days from the above date of mailing Priority date (day/month/year) 08. Sep. 2004 (08. 09. 2004)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC <sup>7</sup> H04L12/56 ,H04L12/24		
Applicant HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES.,LTD.		

#### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application


#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1*bis*(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

#### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN The state Intellectual Property Office, the P.R.China 6 Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge, Haidian District, Beijing, China 100088 Facsimile No. 86-10-62019451	Date of completion of this opinion 10. Nov. 2005 (10. 11. 2005)	Authorized officer SUN Zhiling  Telephone No. (86-10)62084627
--	--	---

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/CN2005/001426

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed  
☐ a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper  
☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ in addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/CN2005/001426

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement:

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-14	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-14	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

The report is based on the following documents in the search report:

D1: (KR447197B1)

D2: (WO0038374A1)

The subject matter of claims 1-14 describes a Resource Admission Control Subsystem in NGN and method thereof.

Claims 1-8 meet the criteria of PCT Article 33(2), because all of the above cited documents don't teach or fairly suggest the system as described by claims 1-8 and the method as described by claims 9-14, therefore the subject matter of claims 1-14 has novelty.

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria of PCT Article 33(3), because those skilled in the art can not obtain the technical solutions defined by claims 1-14 obviously from the combination of the documents above, therefore the subject matter of claims 1-14 has inventive step.

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria of PCT Article 33(4), because the invention as claimed is found to have practical applications in the industry.